C. L. G. Administration of the control of the contr

1-101-1

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Washington, D. C.

15 February 1951

STATINTL	AD THE TR	TIVE IIST	RUCTION
	SUDJECT:	Policy	Determination

- 1. Questions on matters which are not clearly provided for in basic Agency policy or which seem to indicate need for interpretation or new Agency policy have arisen and will continue to arise within the various Offices of the Agency at different levels.
- 2. Clearly in the interests of the Agency as a whole, these questions are of proper concern to the Director's office and should of course not receive final disposition within or at the staff or Office level. They should be regreted through appropriate channels with endorsements (favorable or unfavorable) directly to the DCI.

STATINTL

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CEPTRAL INTELLIGER CE:

Deputy Director (Administration)

DISTRIBUTION: 3

Vegistry

CENTRAL INTELLICENCE ACENCY Washington, D. C.

15 February 1951

STATINTL

AD INTERTRATIVE ENSTRUCTION

SULJECT:

Policy Determinations

- l. Questions on matters which are not clearly provided for in basic Agency policy or which seem to indicate need for interpretation or new Agency policy have arisen and will continue to arise within the various Offices of the Agency at different levels.
- 2. Clearly in the interests of the Agency as a whole, these questions are of proper concern to the Director's office and should of course not receive final disposition within or at the staff or Office level. They should be forwarded through appropriate channels with endorsements (favorable or unfavorable) directly to the DCI.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE:

STATINTL

Deputy Director (Administration)

DISTRIBUTIO: : 3

. ,	TRANSMITTAL	y Jet 51 )
41		1
O: DD/1	4	ROOM NO.
UILDING	1 1	A HOUM NO.
recommadur c	Justo.  Stribution 3  tion down h	myself and as
ر (ا	stribution 3	Bered
distribu	tion down A	a souther
chiefs.	103	
- T		
FROM:	* .	NO EXTENSION

STATINTL

ER 1- 5663.

D R A F T #2 9 February 1951

Questions on matters which are not clearly provided for in basic Agency policy or which seem to indicate need for interpretation or new Agency policy have arisen and will continue to arise within the various Offices of the Agency at different levels.

These questions are of proper concern to the Director's office and should of course not receive disposition within or at the Office level. They should be forwarded through appropriate channels with endorsements (favorable or unfavorable recommendation) directly to the DCI.

clearly ni the interest, It the agency as a wade,

Menos endos Menos endos Conun We

	DUM TO:	(2) Mr.	Jackson	11.0	
				Ornas	
in -					· a
	Recomme	end appro	val.		
			m - 1		
			MAR		
			XXX		
			USE		
				×	
			1 y		
1					
				uary 1951	
,			(0)	(TE)	

STATINTL

UN	SENDER WILL CIRCLE CLA PICATION TOP	ENTIAL AND BOTTOM)	SECRET	
	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE A			
то		INITIALS	DATE	
1	DIDCIT			
2				
3				
4			-	
5			*	
FROM		INITIALS	DATE	
1	DOTA	noc	2)/Fan	
2			10	
3	* .			
	APPROVAL INFORMATION ACTION DIRECT REPLY		SIGNATURE RETURN	
	COMMENT PREPARATION OF F		DISPATCH FILE	
REMARKS:				
	ley			
SEC	RET CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICT	D UNC	LASSIFIED	

RESTRICTED

1-5204

26 January 1951

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	,	STATINTL
	l. Basic policy questions can arise within the various Off but can get killed by a staff or a division chief; thus the Agen deprived of an overall view of what might be a very good idea. I think we should require that any basic administration policy a within a staff or division should come up through channels to DD with appropriate endorsements.	cy is Hence rising /A	STATINTL
25X1A	2. An example of this is suggestion that at the of six months his graduates go from GS-5 to GS-7. This is a bass personnel policy question and should come "upstairs" so to speak was addressing the memorandum embodying this question to CS staff II, of OPC. Under our present regulations it could stop rethere. It shouldn't.	ic · hieſ.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			STATINTL